Case 2:23-cr-00074-DJC Document 15 Filed 04/11/23 Page 1 of 3

1 2 3 4 5 6 7	PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney EMILY G. SAUVAGEAU Assistant United States Attorney 501 I Street, Suite 10-100 Sacramento, CA 95814 Telephone: (916) 554-2700 Facsimile: (916) 554-2900 Attorneys for Plaintiff United States of America	
8 9 10	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
11	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,	CASE NO. 2:23-CR-00074-DJC
12	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION REGARDING EXCLUDABLE TIME PERIODS UNDER SPEEDY TRIAL ACT;
13	v.	FINDINGS AND ORDER FINDINGS AND ORDER
14	ARNES KRAJINIC,	DATE: May 1, 2023
15	Defendant.	TIME: 9:00 a.m. COURT: Hon. Daniel J. Calabretta
16		
17	STIPULATION	
18	Plaintiff United States of America, by and through its counsel of record, and defendant, by and	
19	through defendant's counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:	
20	1. By previous order, this matter was set for status on May 1, 2023 before the Honorable	
21	Kimberly J. Mueller. On April 6, 2023, this matter was reassigned to the Honorable Daniel J.	
22	Calabretta.	
23	2. By this stipulation, the parties move to continue the status conference until May 11, 2023	
24	at 9:30 a.m., and to exclude time through May 11, 2023, under Local Code T4.	
25	3. The parties agree and stipulate, and request that the Court find the following:	
26	a) The government has represented that the discovery associated with this case	
27	includes search warrants, photographs, audio recordings, and video recordings. This discovery	
28	has been either produced directly to counsel and/or made available for inspection and copying.	

Case 2:23-cr-00074-DJC Document 15 Filed 04/11/23 Page 2 of 3

Two additional videos are being produced today.

- b) Counsel for defendant desires additional time to consult with his client regarding discovery and otherwise prepare for trial.
- Counsel for defendant believes that failure to grant the above-requested c) continuance would deny him the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due diligence.
 - d) The government does not object to the continuance.
- Based on the above-stated findings, the ends of justice served by continuing the e) case as requested outweigh the interest of the public and the defendant in a trial within the original date prescribed by the Speedy Trial Act.
- f) For the purpose of computing time under the Speedy Trial Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3161, et seq., within which trial must commence, the time period of May 1, 2023 to May 11, 2023, inclusive, is deemed excludable pursuant to 18 U.S.C.§ 3161(h)(7)(A), B(iv) [Local Code T4] because it results from a continuance granted by the Court at defendant's request on the basis of the Court's finding that the ends of justice served by taking such action outweigh the best interest of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial.
- 4. Nothing in this stipulation and order shall preclude a finding that other provisions of the Speedy Trial Act dictate that additional time periods are excludable from the period within which a trial must commence.

IT IS SO STIPULATED.

Dated: April 10, 2023

25

26

27

28

PHILLIP A. TALBERT United States Attorney

/s/ EMILY G. SAUVAGEAU EMILY G. SAUVAGEAU Assistant United States Attorney

Case 2:23-cr-00074-DJC Document 15 Filed 04/11/23 Page 3 of 3

Dated: April 10, 2023 /s/ DAVID FISCHER DAVID FISCHER Counsel for Defendant ARNES KRAJINIC **ORDER** IT IS SO FOUND AND ORDERED this 10th day of April, 2023. /s/ Daniel J. Calabretta THE HONORABLE DANIEL J. CALABRETTA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE